

REMARKS

This reply is submitted pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §132 and 37 C.F.R. §1.111. The Office Action was carefully considered by applicant and undersigned attorney. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

1. Summary of the Office Action.

The disclosure was objected to.

Claims 1-8 were pending.

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C §112, second paragraph.

2. Discussion.

Specification Objections

Although application does not necessarily agree with the objection, he has amended the title to more clearly describe the invention to which the claims are directed to, and to expedite prosecution to finality. Withdrawal of the objection is believed to be in order. Applicant would be willing to consider further changes should the examiner believe that the amended title is still insufficiently descriptive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112, Second Paragraph

Claim 1. This claim was rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph.

The claim has been amended to clarify the invention. Regarding the element “the image,” this language was intended by applicant to refer to the “original image” mentioned in line 2 of the claim, after the preceding process steps have been completed. Regarding the disordering step, disordering techniques are well known, and such techniques involve identifying a centre-point about which the technique is based. The currently amended claim particularly points out and distinctly claims the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Remaining Claims. The remaining dependent claims each adds at least one limitation to the elements of its base claim, and is therefore deemed to be allowable with such base and any intervening claim, at least for this reason.

3. Conclusion.

The pending claims are believed to be patentable for the reasons stated above. The amendments are believed to be supported by the specification, claims and drawings as filed. It is believed that this case is in a condition for allowance. Reconsideration and favorable action are respectfully requested.


Should the Examiner believe that telephone communication would advance the prosecution of this case to finality, he is invited to call at the number below.

It is respectfully requested that, if necessary to effect a timely response, this paper be considered as a Petition for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136(a), provided a Petition is not submitted separately.

Please charge any fee due not paid by a check or credit card provided herewith, and/or charge any underpayment in any fee, and/or credit any overpayment in fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-2381.

ANY FEES DUE ARE CALCULATED AS FOLLOWS:	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>FEE</u>
TOTAL Claims Remaining over that Previously Paid:	None	\$0
INDEPENDENT Claims Remaining over that Previously Paid:	None	\$0
	SUM Claim Fees:	\$0
EXTENSION Fees:		\$0
OTHER Fees:		\$0
	<u>TOTAL AMOUNT (if any)</u>	\$0
<input type="checkbox"/> Paid by enclosed check.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Paid by enclosed Credit Card Payment Form(s) PTO-2038.		

Respectfully submitted,



Joel D. Skinner, Jr.
Reg. No. 33,786

Date: 10-6-11

Skinner and Associates Customer No. 24339
212 Commercial Street
Hudson, Wisconsin 54016
Tel.: (715) 386-5800
FAX: (715) 386-6177
Internet e-mail: **info@skinnerlaw.com**

Z:\Shared\CLIENTS\MarksClerk-UK ARD\PATENTS\Image Processing (ARD132)\Reply to 110707 OA 10-7.doc